Abacus (Mathematics Science Series) Vol. 44, No 1, Aug. 2019 INFLUENCE OF HEAT TRANSFER ON MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS FLUID PAST AN INFINITE VERTICAL POROUS PLATE WITH SUCTION by

Durojaye M.O and OKONOFUA A.N

Department of Mathematics, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria. <u>mayojaye@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract

The influence of heat transfer on magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) fluid flow past a vertical porous plate with variable sunction is studied. The nonlinear partial differential equation models were solved using the Crank-Nikolson finite difference scheme. A parametric study was performed to illustrate the impact of Ercket, Radiation, Grashof, Porosity, Magnetic, Prandtl and Heat source parameters on the velocity profile, skin fiction and Nusset number.

Keywords- Magnetohydrodynamics, Ercket, Grashof, Prandtl, Nusset number, Skin friction).

1 INTRODUCTION

The study of unsteady natural convective flow of viscous incompressible fluid past vertical bodies in the presence of magnetic has a wide engineering and technological applications. Natural or Free convection is the principal mode of heat transfer from pipes, refrigerating coils, hot radiators etc. The movement of fluid in free convection is due to the fact that the fluid particles in the immediate vicinity of the hot object become warmer than the surrounding fluid resulting in a local change of density. The warmer fluid would be replaced by the colder fluid creating convection currents. These currents originate when a body force (gravitational, centrifugal, electrostatic etc.) acts on a fluid in which there are density gradients. The force which induces these convection currents is called a buoyancy force which is due to the presence of a density gradient within the fluid and a body force.

Many studies have been carried on this subject. Sharma (2008) studied the flow of a viscous incompressible electrically conducting fluid along a porous vertical isothermal nonconducting plate with variable suction and exponentially decaying heat generation in the presence of transverse magnetic field. The governing equations of motion and energy were transformed into ordinary differential equations using time dependent similarity technique and the resulting equations were solved under the prescribed boundary conditions using Runge-Kutta fourth order technique along with shooting technique. The variations of flow velocity, temperature, skin-friction and rate of heat transfer characteristics with various parameters like Grashof (Gr), Prandtl (Pr), Magnetic (M) were presented. It was observed that heat source and suction affects the boundary layer thicknesses, velocity and temperature profiles and skin-friction and rate of heat transfer at the plate surface in such flows.

Makinde, (2011). Investigated Second Law Analysis for Variable Viscosity Hydromagnetic Boundary layer Flow with Thermal Radiation and Newtonian Heating. The main focus is on the entropy generation characteristics for hydromagnetic boundary layer flow under the influence of thermal radiation and Newtonian heating at the plate surface. Using local similarity solution technique and shooting quadrature, the velocity and temperature profiles are obtained numerically and utilized to compute the entropy generation number. The effects of magnetic field parameter, Brinkmann number, the Prandtl number, variable viscosity parameter, radiation parameter and local Biot number on the fluid velocity

profiles, temperature profiles, local skin friction and local Nusselt number are presented. The influences of the same parameters and the dimensionless group parameter on the entropy generation rate in the flow regime and Bejan number were computed and shown graphically. It was observed that the peak of entropy generation rate is attained within the boundary layer region and plate surface act as a strong source of entropy generation and heat transfer irreversibility.

Dada et al (2014) investigated the unsteady free convection of magnetohydrodynamic radiative fluid flow past an infinite vertical porous plate in a porous medium under the limit of an optically thin fluid is investigated. An implicit finite differences cheme of crank-Nicolson type was employed in solving the resulting coupled non-linear partial differential equations and obtained the dimensionless velocity and temperature. Velocity and temperature fields were studied and their profiles and Nusselt number are given. They observed, among others, that the radiation parameter decreases the velocity and temperature of fluid while thesuction/injection parameter leads to an increase in the transient velocity and temperature of fluid. Also, a rise inmagnetic field and permeability parameter parameters reduced the velocity significantly and temperature slightly.

The present work is a follow up on the work of Dada et al (2014). He neglected the Grashof Gr and Heat absorbent S parameter effects on the fluid. Grashof number is the dimensionless parameter that governs the fluid flow. This work with the influence of heat transfer on magnetohydrodynamic fluid flow past an infinite vertical porous plate with Grashof and Heat absorbent number is presented in this study. The momentum equation includes the Grashof parameter while the Heat absorbent is in the energy equation.

2.0. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider unsteady two-dimensional hydromagnetic laminar, incompressible, viscous, electrically conducting and heat source past an infinite vertical porous plate in the presence of thermal diffusion and thermal radiation effects. According to the coordinate system, the x*-axis is taken along the plate in upward direction and y*-axis is normal to the plate. The fluid is assumed to be a gray, absorbing-emitting but non-scattering medium. Initially, at time t = 0, the plate and the fluid are assumed to be at the same temperature T_{∞} and stationary. At time t> 0, the temperature of the plate is raised or lowered to T_w . The flow is considered to be laminar without any pressure gradient in the flow direction.

The radiative heat flux in the x*-direction is considered negligible in comparison with that in the y-direction. Theradiative heat flux in y*-direction is only considered. All variables are function of t* and y* only. It is assumed that there is no applied voltage of which implies the absence of an electric field. The transversely applied magnetics Reynolds number are very small and hence the induced magnetic field is negligible. Viscous and Darcy resistance terms are taken into account the constant permeability porous medium. The MHD term is the derived form an order of magnitude analysis of the full Naiver-stokes equation. It is assumed here that the hole size of the porous plate is significantly larger than a characteristic microscopic length scale of the porous medium. The magnetic field, viscous permeability, viscous dissipation and radiation are important in flow problem hence they are assumed to play important roles. It is also assumed that the fluid properties are constant except for the body force terms in the momentum equation, which are approximated by the Boussinesq relation. With these assumptions, the flow can be governed by the momentum, continuity and energy equations.

3.0. **Governing Equation**

The governing equations of the model in dimensional form are

$$\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial t^*} + v^* \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial y^*} = \frac{v}{1 + \lambda_1} \frac{\partial^2 u^*}{\partial y^{*2}} + g\beta^* (T^* - T_\infty) - \frac{\sigma B_0^2 u^*}{\rho} - vu^* k^*$$

$$\frac{\partial T^*}{\partial t^*} + v^* \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial y^*} = \frac{k}{\rho c_p} \left(\frac{\partial^2 T^*}{\partial y^{*2}} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y^*} + \frac{Q_0}{\rho c_p} (T^* - T_\infty)$$
(1)
The boundary conditions for the velocity and temperature fields are

 $\begin{array}{ll} t*=0, & u^{*}=0, \, T * \! \to \! T_{\infty}^{*} \mbox{ for all } y^{*} \\ t^{*}\!\!>\!0, & u^{*}\!\!=\!0, \, T * \! \to \! T_{w}^{*} \mbox{ as } y^{*}\!=\!0 \end{array}$ $u^*=0, T * \rightarrow T_{\infty}^* \text{ as } y^* \rightarrow \infty$ (3)

The above equations (1) and (2) in dimensionless form are

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - (M + K)u + Gr\theta$$
(4)

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\Pr} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} + \operatorname{Ec} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\Pr} (R - S)\theta$$
(5)

With the boundary conditions

$$u = 0, \theta = 1,$$
 for $y = 0$
 $u = 0, \theta = 0,$ as $y \to \infty$ (6)

3.1. Introducing Skin friction and Nussel number

The important characteristics of the problem are the Skin frictionandNusselt number. Skin friction: The dimensions shearing stress on the surface body, due to a fluid motion is known as Skin friction (c_f) and is defined by the newton law of velocity.

$$\tau_{\omega} = \mu \, \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial y^*} \tag{7}$$

and the coefficient of skin friction at the plate is given by:

(8)

$$C_{f} = \left(\frac{\tau_{\omega}}{\mathrm{pv}_{o}\mathrm{v}_{o}}\right) = \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right]$$

Nusselt number: The dimensionless coefficient of heat transfer is known as Nusselt number (Nu). Knowing the temperature field, it is interesting to study the effect of the free convection and radiation on the rate of heat transfer $q_{(w)}^*$

$$q_{(w)}^{*} = -k \left(\frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}\right) - \frac{\partial \sigma^{*}}{\partial kr^{!}} \left(\frac{\partial T^{*4}}{\partial y^{*}}\right)_{y=0}$$
(9)
The dimensionless forms

The dimensionless forms
$$(T^*, T^*)$$

$$q_{(w)}^{*} = -kV_{0}\left(\frac{T_{w}^{*} - T_{w}^{*}}{v}\right)\left(1 + \frac{4R}{3}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y}\right)_{y=0} \quad (10)$$

$$X = \frac{xv_{0}}{v}, \quad y = \frac{v_{0y^{*}}}{v}, \quad T^{*} = (T_{\infty}^{*} - T_{\infty}^{*}), \quad Nu = \frac{Xq_{w}^{*}}{k(T_{w}^{*} - T_{w}^{*})}$$

$$\Rightarrow Nu = \frac{-xv_{0}}{v}\left(1 + \frac{4R}{3}\right)\left(\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y}\right)_{y=0} \quad (11)$$

$$Nu \quad R_{e}^{-1} = -\left(1 + \frac{4R}{3}\right)\left(\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y}\right)_{y=0}$$

Abacus (Mathematics Science Series) Vol. 44, No 1, Aug. 2019 Where $Re = \frac{v_{0}x}{v}$ is the Reynolds number. The rate of heat transfer in terms of nusselt number at the plate is given by $Nu = \left[\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x}\right]_{y=0}$ (12)

4.0. Numerical Solution

We now apply the Crank- Nicolson implicit finite difference method which is known to be convergent and stable. This method is used to solve Equations (3) and (4) subject to the boundary conditions given in equation (5).

4.1 Momentum Equation (Dimensionless)

Applying on the momentum equation and evaluating, we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - (M + K)u + Gr\theta$$

$$\left(\frac{U_j^{k+1} - U_j^k}{\Delta t}\right) + v \left(\frac{U_{j+1}^{k+1} - U_{j-1}^{k+1} + U_{j+1}^k - U_{j-1}^k}{4\Delta y}\right) = \left(\frac{U_{j-1}^{k+1} - 2U_j^{k+1} + U_{j+1}^{k-1} - 2U_j^k + U_{j+1}^k}{2(\Delta y)^2}\right) (13)$$

$$- LI \left(\frac{U_j^{k+1} + U_j^k}{2}\right) + Gr \left(\frac{\theta_j^{k+1} + \theta_j^k}{2}\right)$$

Which can be written in matrix formas

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & A_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{1} & A_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{1}^{k+1} \\ U_{2}^{k+1} \\ U_{3}^{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ b_{3} \\ b_{j} \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)
Where $A_{1} = vr_{1} + 2r_{2}, A_{2} = 4 + 4r_{2} + r_{3}L1, A_{3} = vr_{1} - 2r_{2}, A_{4} = 4 - 4r_{2} - r_{3}L1, A_{5} = r_{3}Grr_{1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y}, r_{2} = \frac{\Delta t}{(\Delta y)^{2}}, r_{3} = 2\Delta t, L_{1} = (M + K)$
 $b_{j} = A_{1}U_{j-1}^{k} + A_{4}U_{j}^{k} - A_{3}U_{j+1}^{k} + A_{5}(\theta_{i,j}^{k+1} - \theta_{i,j}^{k})(15)$

4.2 Energy Equation (Dimensionless)

Discretise equation (5) and re-arrange to obtain

$$\frac{\left(\theta_{j}^{k+1} - \theta_{j}^{k}\right)}{\Delta t} \Pr - \nu \frac{\left(\theta_{j+1}^{k+1} - \theta_{j-1}^{k+1} + \theta_{j+1}^{k} - \theta_{j-1}^{k}\right)}{4\Delta y} \Pr = \frac{\left(\theta_{j-1}^{k+1} - 2\theta_{j}^{k+1} + \theta_{j+1}^{k} - \theta_{j-1}^{k} - 2\theta_{j}^{k} + \theta_{j+1}^{k}\right)}{2(\Delta y)^{2}} (16)$$

$$+ \Pr Ec \left(\frac{U_{j+1}^{k} - U_{j-1}^{k}}{2}\right)^{2} - \left(R - S\right) \left(\frac{\theta_{j}^{k+1} + \theta_{j}^{k}}{2}\right)$$

This gives

$$-B_{1}\theta_{j,j-1}^{k+1} + B_{2}\theta_{j}^{k+1} + B_{3}\theta_{j+1}^{k+1} = B_{1}\theta_{j-1}^{k} + B_{4}\theta_{j}^{k} - B_{3}\theta_{j+1}^{k} + B_{5}(U_{j+1}^{k} - U_{j-1}^{k})^{2}$$
where
$$(17)$$

Abacus (Mathematics Science Series) Vol. 44, No 1, Aug. 2019

$$B_1 = (vr_1 \operatorname{Pr} + 2r_2), B_2 = 4 \operatorname{Pr} + 4r_2 - r_5, B_3 = v \operatorname{Pr} r_1 - 2r_2, B_4 = 4 \operatorname{Pr} + 4r_2 - r_5, B_5 = r_3 \operatorname{Pr} Ec$$
(18)

In matrix form we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_2 & B_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^{k+1} \\ \phi_2^{k+1} \\ \phi_3^{k+1} \\ \phi_j^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \\ p_j \end{bmatrix}$$

$$p_j = B_1 \theta_{j+1}^k + B_4 \theta_j^k - B_3 \theta_{j+1}^k + B_5 \left(U_{j+1}^k - U_{j-1}^k \right)$$
(19)
(20)

The finite differences representation at every internal nodal point on a particular k-level constitute a tridiagonal system of equations, the above equations are solved using Thomas Algorithm. The values of u and θ are known at all grid points at the initial time t = 0. The values of u and θ at time level (*k*+*1*) using the known values at previous time level *k* are then calculated.

Hence the implicit Crank Nicolson method is unconditionally stable and therefore consistency and stability ensures the convergence of the scheme. The computations are carried out for different values of physical parameters involved in the problem.

We compute the velocity and temperature of the fluid using the dimensionless equations (4)and (5) with boundary condition 6(TakingN = 4, $\Delta t = 0.01$, V= 0.3, Pr=0.71, R = 3, S= 0,M=1, K=3, Ec=0.1, Gr=1)

Applying the Crank Nicholson method we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\Pr} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \operatorname{Ec} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{\Pr} (R - S) \theta$$

- $B_1 \theta_{j,j-1}^{k+1} + B_2 \theta_{j+1}^{k+1} + B_3 \theta_{j+1}^{k+1} = B_1 \theta_{j+1}^k + B_4 \theta_j^k - B_3 \theta_{j+1}^k + B_5 \left(U_{j+1}^k - U_{j-1}^k \right)^2$
Where
 $r_1 = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y}, r_2 = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y^2}, r_3 = 2\Delta t, r_5 = r_3 (R - S), B_1 = (vr_1 \operatorname{Pr} + 2r_2), B_2 = 4 \operatorname{Pr} + 4r_2 - r_5,$
 $B_3 = v \operatorname{Pr} r_1 - 2r_2, B_4 = 4 \operatorname{Pr} - 4r_2 + r_5, B_5 = r_3 \operatorname{Pr} Ec$ (20)

Now, we set k=0 and j = 1, 2, ..., N to obtain a system of equations which can be written In matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{1} & d_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{2} & b_{2} & d_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{3} & b_{3} & d_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{4} & b_{4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{1} \\ \theta_{2} \\ \theta_{3} \\ \theta_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{1} \\ p_{2} \\ p_{3} \\ p_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

where B1 = a's, B2 =b's, B3 = d's From boundary condition

$$\theta_0^0 = 1, \theta_1^0 = 1.16, \theta_2^0 = 1.32, \theta_3^0 = 1.48, \theta_4^0 = 0, \theta_5^0 = ?, \theta_0^1 = 0.01,$$
Introducing dimensionless parameter Nusselt (Nu) to obtain θ_5 , we have
$$Nu = -\left[\frac{d\theta}{dy}\right]_{y=0}$$
(23)

Discretize equation (23) using backward approximation to obtain

$$0 = \frac{-3\theta_{j+1} - 4\theta_j + \theta_{j-1}}{2\Delta y}, \text{ from Shafigh M}$$
(24)

Putting (24) into equation(20) for j = 4. We have

$$\left(\frac{B_3}{3} - B_1\right)\theta_{,3}^1 + \left(B_2 - \frac{4B_3}{3}\right)\theta_4^1 = \left(\frac{B_1 - \frac{B_3}{3}}{3}\right)\theta_3 + \left(B_4 + \frac{4B_3}{3}\right)\theta_4 + B_5\left(U_5^0 - U_3^0\right)\right)$$
(25)

Applying equation 3.135 and boundary condition and solving, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4.3425 & -0.767938 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.794563 & 4.3425 & -0.767938 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.794563 & 4.3425 & -0.767938 \\ 0 & 0 & -1.054167 & 6.659167 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \\ \theta_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.367833 \\ 3.823887 \\ 3.028469 \\ 1.554494 \end{bmatrix}$$
(26)

We solve equation (26) using Thomas Algorithm to obtain the values of the temperature, i.e. Nusselt values which are

 $\theta_{1} = 0.9945, \theta_{2} = 1.2382, \theta_{3} = 0.9930, \theta_{4} = 0.3906$ Now to obtain the velocity, we solve $-A_{l}U_{j-1}^{k+1} + A_{2}U_{j}^{k+1} + A_{3}U_{j+1}^{k+1} = A_{l}U_{j-1}^{k} + A_{4}U_{j}^{k} - A_{3}U_{j+1}^{k} + A_{5}(\theta_{j}^{k+1} + \theta_{j}^{k})$ (27) Where

$$A_{1} = vr_{1} + 2r_{2}, A_{2} = 4 + 4r_{2} + r_{3}Ll, A_{3} = vr_{1} - 2r_{2}, A_{4} = 4 - 4r_{2} - r_{3}Ll, A_{5} = r_{3}Gr$$
(28)

When k=0, then j=1, 2...N we have a system of equations in matrix form gives

 $\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & d_1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & b_2 & d_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_3 & b_3 & d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & b_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \\ U_3 \\ U_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ g_3 \\ g_4 \end{bmatrix}$ (29)

Where $A_1 = a$, $A_2 = b$, $A_3 = d$ Introducing dimensionless parameter Skin friction to obtain U_5 , we have $C_c = \lfloor \frac{du}{d} \rfloor$

$$0 = \frac{-3U_{j+1} - 4U_j + U_{j-1}}{2\Delta y}$$
(30)

Therefore

$$a_{4}^{*} = \left(\frac{A_{3}}{3} - A_{1}\right) \text{ and } b_{4}^{*} = \left(A_{2} - \frac{4A_{3}}{3}\right)$$
 (31)

we have the following values

$$U_0^0 = 0, U_1^0 = 0.16, U_2^0 = 0.32, U_3^0 = 0.48, U_4^0 = 0, U_0^1 = 0.01, r_1 = 0.0625, r_2 = 0.390625$$

 $r_3 = 0.02, L1 = 4$

Hence we form the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5.6425 & -0.7625 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.8 & 5.6425 & -0.7625 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.8 & 5.6425 & -0.7625 \\ 0 & 0 & -1.054167 & 6.659167 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \\ U_3 \\ U_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.67329 \\ 1.253516 \\ 1.43706 \\ 0.513812 \end{bmatrix}$$
(32)

Solving with Thomas Algorithm of Gaussian elimination method using matlab, we obtain the values of velocity as

 $U_1 = 0.0924, U_2 = 0.1992, U_3 = 0.2668, U_4 = 0.1194$

5.0. Results and Discussion.

Computations are carried out for velocity profiles, temperature profiles, Skin –friction (C_f) and Nusselt Number (Nu) for various value of the fluid parameters Grashof (Gr), Radition (R), Permeability (K), Suction(V), Prandtl number(Pr), Eckert number (Ec), Magnetic (M) and Heat Source (S) which are varied in order to account for their effects. We present numerical results for velocity and temperature profiles on graphs while the Skin-friction coefficient (C_f) and Nusselt number (Nu)are shown in tabular form.

For the purpose of the numerical computation, we adapt R =3, K= 3, Pr = 0.71, V = 0.3, Ec =0.1, H= 1 from [3] and values like Gr = 1, S = 1 from [8].

Table 1

Computated values for Skin Friction and Nusselt Number for various values of parameters in Momentum Equation and Energy Equation.

For Momentum Equation			For Energy Equation		
Fluid Parameter	Skin Friction	Nusselt	Fluid Parameter	Skin Friction	Nusselt
Gr = 1	0.4034	2.9290	Pr = 0.71	0.2653	4.8171
Gr = 2	0.8181	2.9130	Pr = 1.00	0.2719	4.5776
Gr = 3	1.2305	2.8862	Pr = 7.00	0.2767	3.3331
Gr = 4	1.6472	2.8481	Pr = 15.00	0.2897	3.0845
K = 0.5	0.4403	2.9278	R = 3	0.2653	4.8171
K = 1.0	0.4084	2.9290	R = 4	0.2340	-5.3356
K = 1.5	0.3822	2.9299	R = 5	0.2120	-5.7464
K = 2.0	0.3605	2.9305	R = 6	0.1952	-6.0899
V = 0.1	0.4142	3.0451	Ec = 20	0.2744	-4.5530
V = 0.2	0.4115	2.9852	Ec = 40	0.2855	-4.2238
V = 0.3	0.4084	2.9290	Ec = 60	0.2987	-3.8243
V = 0.4	0.4046	2.8765	Ec = 80	0.3147	-3.3280
H = 1	0.4084	2.9290	V = 0.2	0.2757	-4.6073
H = 2	0.3605	2.9305	V = 0.4	0.2729	-4.5005
H = 3	0.3261	2.9315	V = 0.6	0.2692	-4.4010
H = 4	0.3000	2.9321	V = 0.8	0.2647	-4.3089
(P-3, K-3, Pr-0.71, V-0.3, Fc-0.1, H-1, Gr-1, S-1)					

(R = 3, K = 3, Pr = 0.71, V = 0.3, Ec = 0.1, H = 1, Gr = 1, S = 1)

The influence of various parameters on Skin-friction coefficient and Nusselt number are shown in Table $\underline{1}$.

In order to show the influence of all the parameters involved on the flow, a selected set of graphical results are presented in figures.

Abacus (Mathematics Science Series) Vol. 44, No 1, Aug. 2019



Figure 1 Effect of different values of Grashof (Gr) on velocity profile



Figure 2 Effect of different values of Permeability (K) on velocity profile



Figure 3: Effect of different values of Magnet (H) on velocity profile



Figure 4: Effect of different values of Suction on velocity profile

From Table 2, it is observed that an increase in Ec and S leads to a rise in the skin-friction coefficient andNusselt number, respectively, while an increase in R leads to a fall in the skin-friction coefficient andNusselt number, respectively. It is also seen that as Pr increases there is a rise in the skin-friction coefficient and a fall in Nusselt numbers, respectively. The suction V, fall in the skin-friction coefficient and a rise in Nusselt numbers as the parameter increases.



Figure 5: Effect of different values of Prandtl (Pr) on Velocity profile



Figure 6:Effect of different values of Prandtl (Pr) on Temperature profile







Figure 8: Effect of different values of Radiation (R) on Temperature profile





Effect of different values of Eckert (Ec) on Temperature profile



Figure 10: Effect of different values of Suction(V) on Temperature profile



Abacus (Mathematics Science Series) Vol. 44, No 1, Aug. 2019 **Figure 11:** Effect of different values of Suction(V) on Velocity profile



Figure 12:Effect of different values of Heat Source (S) on Temperature profile

6.0. Discussion of Results

The influence of thermal Grashof number (Gr), on the velocity is shown in figure 1. It is observed that an increasing in Gr leads to a rise in the values of velocity and temperature. In addition, the curves show that the peak value of velocity increases rapidly near the wall of the porous plate as Grashof number increases, and then decays to the relevant free stream velocity. Figure 2 shows that the permeability (k) of porous medium, parameter (k) increases the resistance of the porous medium, thus decreasing the velocity of the flow. So an increase in permeability k causes a drop in the velocity of fluid.

In figure 3, we observe that the effect of magnetic parameter (H) is to retard the main velocity of the flow field due to the magnetic pull of the Lorentz force acting on the flow field. As the values of the magnetic parameter (H) increases, velocity u decreases.

From figure 4 in the presence of growing suction, the velocity of the flow field is found to decrease. Also an increase in suction parameters reduces the skin-friction at the wall.

From Figure 6 and 7, it is noticed that velocity decreases with the increase in Prandtl number (Pr). It is observed that reducing the Prandtl number producessignificant increase in the velocity condition of the fluid. Also in figure 6, it is observed that increasing the Prandtl number producessignificant decrease in the thermal condition of the fluid. Thermal conductivity is accelerated with small values of prandtl number thus causing rapid diffusion of heat for smaller Prandtl number than for higher values of Prandtl number.

In figures 8 and 9 it is observed that the fluid pressure decreases as the radiation parameter increases on the velocity profiles. Also, it is noticed that the radiation parameter (N) increases the temperature profiles decreases.

In figure 10, it is observed that growing viscous dissipative (Eckert number) causes an increase in the velocity profiles and temperature profiles across the boundary layer.

In figures11 and 12, the suction parameter leads to increase in the transient velocity and temperature of fluid, that is the velocity and temperature of fluid increases with increasing the suction parameter.

7.0. Conclusions

Having studied influence of heat transfer on magnetohydrodynamic fluid flow past a vertical porous plate with suction , we conclude as follows:

1. An increase inGrashof numberleads to an increase in the heavy flow of fluidvelocity owing to the increase in quality of buoyancy force. The highest point of the velocity goes up quickly near the porous plate as buoyance force for heat movement riseswhile the temperature reduces.

2. The increase in Hartmann number decreases both velocity and temperature of the fluid . This is due to the fact that the effect of magnetic parameter (H) is to retard the main velocity of the flow field due to the magnetic pull of the Lorentz force acting on the flow field. As the values of the magnetic parameter (H) increases, velocity u decreases.

3. Theincrease in thePermeablity (K)number leads to adecrease in velocity. This is because the effect of the dimensionless porous medium K becomes smaller as K increase. Physically, this result can be achieved when the holes of the porous medium may be neglected and increases in temperature.

4. The increase in values of Eckert number, Heat source and Suctionbrings increases in velocity and temperature distributions.

5. An increase in the Prandtl number decreases the velocity and temperature. The reason is that smaller values of Pr are equivalent to increase in the thermal conductivity of the fluid and therefore, heat is able to diffuse away from the heated surface more rapidly for higher values of Pr. Hence in the case of smaller Prandtl number as the thermal boundary later is thicker and the rate of heat transfer is reduced.

6. The increase in thermal Grashof numberincreases the skin – friction .

7. An increase in the Hartmann number and Prandtl number decreases the skin - friction .

8. An increase in the Prandtl number decreases the Nusselt number.

References

- Chaudhary R.C. (2008) Magnetohydrodynamic Transient Convection Flow past a Vertical Surface Embedded in a Porous Medium with Oscillating Temperature.
- Das S.S., Satapathy A, Das J. K. and Panda J. P., (2009)Mass Transfer Effects on MHD Flow and Heat Transfer Past a Vertical Porous Plate Through a Porous Medium Under Oscillatory Suction and Heat Source, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 52, 5962-5969.
- Dada M. S. TiTiloya T. O. and Oyekunle T. L. (2014), Unsteady free Convection of Magneto hydrodynamic Radiative Flow Past an Infinite Vertical Porous Plate in a porous Medium
- Gbadeyan J. A.and Dada M.S.(2014), On the Influence of Radiation and Heat Transfer on an Unsteady MHD Non-Newtonian Fluid Flow with Slip in a Porous Medium. Journal of Mathematics Research; Vol. 5, No. 3; 2013
- Hridi Ranjan Deb (2017) Unsteady Hydromagnetic Flow of Visco- Elastic Fluids in a Porous Medium with Heat and Mass Transfer over an inclined plate embedded in a porous medium. International Journal of Applied Engineering Research ISSN 0973-4562 Volume 12, Number 21 (2017) pp. 11279-11287.
- Ima J. U. (2014) Effects of Soret and Dufour on free convective heat and mass transfer on the unsteady one-dimensional boundary layer flow over a vertical channel in the presence of viscous dissipation and constant suction. International Scholarly Research Notices, Article ID 639159/
- Idowu A.S., Dada M.S. and Jimoh A.(2013), Heat and Mass Transfer of Magnetohydrodynamic(MHD) and Dissipative Fluid Flow Past A Moving Vertical Porous Plate With Variable Suction. Mathematical Theory and Modeling.Vol.3, no.3, 2013.
- Sarada K. and Shanker B.(2013), The Effect of Chemical Reaction on an Unsteady MHD Free Convection Flow Past an Infinite Vertical Porous Plate with Variable Suction. International
- Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER) www.ijmer.com Vol.3, Issue.2, March-April. 2013 pp-725-735
- Makinde, O.D. (2011).Second Law Analysis for Variable Viscosity Hydromagnetic Boundary layer Flow with Thermal Radiation and Newtonian Heating; Entropy, 13,1446-1464.